

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/724,935	10/724,935 12/01/2003		Liao Chi-Meng	14078 B	3233		
36672	7590	05/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		KLEY, ESQ.	HANNON, 1	HANNON, THOMAS R			
90 JOHN 1 THIRD FI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW YO	RK, NY	10038	3682				
				DATE MAILED: 05/12/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	······································				
		10/724,9	35	CHI-MENG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			7	Art Unit					
		Thomas F	R. Hannon	3682					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	Idress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the material period for reply will.	N. 1.136(a). In no ev reply within the stat iod will apply and w atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ilication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is r	on-final.	•					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1.2 and 4 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3 and 5-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> in Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ a he drawing(s) t rection is requir	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/724,935

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the implies phrase "the present invention", and as not sufficiently describing the disclosure. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 5 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the trough" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,935

Art Unit: 3682

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the trough" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim with respect to the dependencies to claims 3 and 4.

Claim 7 does not appear to further limit the structure of the retainer of claim 1.

Claim 8 is indefinite because it is unclear as to whether the scope of the claims is to include the partitions being made of a wear-resisting flexible material, since this is not positively claimed. That is, the expression "can be made of" does not necessarily mean that it is.

Claims 1, 2, and 4 are allowed.

Claims 3 and 5-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (571) 272-7099. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682

trh